

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

Margot Shapiro,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 18-11187

Roger Sherr, *et al.*,

Sean F. Cox

United States District Court Judge

Defendants.

---

**ORDER OVERRULING**  
**PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND UPHOLDING**  
**MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S 12/4/18 ORDER**

The parties filed two motions seeking to compel discovery in this action: 1) a Motion to Compel Documents filed by Defendants (ECF No. 18); and 2) a Motion to Compel Documents filed by Plaintiff (ECF No. 21). This Court referred both motions to Magistrate Judge Elizabeth Stafford.

After hearing from the parties in writing regarding their respective positions, the magistrate judge heard oral argument on December 3, 2018. During that hearing, the magistrate judge set forth her rulings, and the reasons for same, on the record. Thereafter, she issued an Order on December 4, 2018, wherein she: 1) denied Plaintiff's Motion to Compel without prejudice; and 2) granted in part, and denied in part, Defendants' Motion to Compel. She granted that motion with regard to Defendants' Document Requests Number 1 and 5 but denied the motion as to Request Number 6.

Thereafter, Plaintiff filed Objections to the December 4, 2018 order. (ECF No. 33).

Those objections do not pertain to the magistrate judge's denial of Plaintiff's own Motion to Compel. Rather, Plaintiff objects to the magistrate judge's ruling as to Document Requests Number 5 and 6 in Defendants' Motion to Compel. Defendants filed a response to those objections. (ECF No. 36).

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a), this Court must modify or set aside any part of the order in question "that is clearly erroneous or is contrary to law."

Having reviewed the relevant motion, the magistrate judge's order, the transcript of the motion hearing during which the magistrate judge set forth the basis for her rulings, Plaintiff's objections, and Defendants' response to same, this Court concludes that Plaintiff has not shown that the magistrate judge's rulings are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. Her rulings are well-reasoned and supported by the allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint. As such, the Court hereby **OVERRULES** Plaintiff's Objections and **UPHOLDS** the magistrate judge's December 4, 2018 order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Sean F. Cox  
Sean F. Cox  
United States District Judge

Dated: February 21, 2019